ABSTRACT

This paper details the dimensional synthesis for the rigid body guidance of planar eight-bar linkages that could be driven by a prismatic joint at its base. We show how two RR cranks can be added to a planar parallel robot formed by a PRR and 3R serial chain to guide its end-effector through a set of five task poses. This procedure is useful for designers who require the choice of ground pivot locations. The results are eight different types of one-degree of freedom planar eight-bar linkages. We demonstrate the design process with the design of a multifunctional wheelchair that could transform its structure between a self-propelled wheelchair and a walking guide.

INTRODUCTION

This paper focuses on the dimensional synthesis for the rigid body guidance of planar eight-bar linkages with 8 links and 10 joints, of which one of the joint is a sliding joint located at the base. Design situations frequently arise where actuating a mechanism with a prismatic joint offers significant advantage, particularly if it involves large driving forces and repeatable positional accuracy, see Myszka and Murray (2010) [1]. Alternatively, it would be useful in circumstances where huge output forces are required, for instance to deliver a linear projectile to "render-safe” ordnance, see Gazonas et al. (1996) [2].

Dimensional synthesis determines the dimensions or proportions of the links of a linkage, and in rigid body guidance we require the linkage to guide an entire body through a prescribed motion sequence. A planar linkage is made up of revolute (R) and prismatic (P) joints and has the property that all of its links move in parallel planes. An example of a well known planar eight-bar linkage is the Peaucellier linkage, invented by the French Captain Charles Nicolas Peaucellier, which is able to follow a straight line exactly, see Koetsier (1994) [3].

The synthesis of an eight-bar linkage to reach a specified set of task positions was first presented by Subbian and Fundur (1994) [5]. They formulated design equations for a connected set of RR and RRR chains such that the resulting system could reach as many as seven task positions. This work used the triad synthesis equations studied by Lin and Erdman (1987) [6] and Chase et al. (1987) [7]. Farhang and Basu (1994) [8] developed approximate kinematic equations for the analysis and design of three input, eight-bar mechanisms driven by relatively small cranks. Mariappan and Krishnamurty (1996) [9] used exact gradient method for the synthesis of an eight-bar dwell mechanism. Recent work on the rigid body guidance of planar eight-bar linkages includes Chen and Angeles (2008) [10] where they synthesize an eight-bar linkage to reach 11 task positions, and Soh and McCarthy [11, 12] where they presented a dimensional synthesis procedure for planar eight-bars connected only by revolute joints.

Our approach is different from these previous works in that we start with a three degree-of-freedom parallel PRR-3R robot that can reach five task poses, and then add two RR constraints.
to obtain an eight-bar linkage that could be driven by a prismatic base joint. This approach reduces the complexity of the design process and organizes the free parameters in a way that assists the designer. It is known that there are sixteen topologies for one degree-of-freedom eight bars linkages as shown in Figure 1, see Tsai (2001) [4]. Our 8 topologies are inversions of four of these sixteen basic chains.

Once an eight-bar linkage has been designed, we analyze it to determine its configuration for given values of the input slide, in order to simulate its movement. Dhingra et al. (2000) [13] present the analysis of all 16 general eight-bar linkages. For our work, we follow Wampler (2001) [14] and formulate complex number loop equations and use the Dixon determinant to eliminate joint variables, in order to analyze and simulate the movement of our eight-bar linkage.

The dimensional synthesis of planar eight-bar linkages with a sliding base joint can be accomplished by constraining two RR chains to a parallel robot formed by a serial PRR and 3R chain. The selection of a parallel robot allows the designer to choose the two connections to ground and two connections on the moving workpiece, and a PRR-3R parallel robot provides the structure for prismatic actuation. Due to practical reasons, we choose not...

FIGURE 1. The sixteen one degree-of-freedom topologies for planar eight-bar chains.

The kinematics equations of the planar PRR-3R parallel robot equate the 3 x 3 homogeneous transformation \([D]\) between the end-effector and the base frame to the sequence of local coordinate transformations around the joint axes and along the links of the chain,

\[
[D] = [G_1][Z(\theta_1)][X(\alpha)][Z(\theta_2)][X(a_{23})][Z(\theta_3)][H_1],
\]

and

\[
[D] = [G_2][Z(\theta_4)][X(a_{45})][Z(\theta_5)][X(a_{56})][Z(\theta_6)][H_2].
\]

(1)

The transformation \([Z(\theta)]\) and \([X(\alpha)]\) are the 3 x 3 homogenous matrices that represent a rotation about the z-axis by \(\theta\),

\[
Z(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

and a translation along the x-axis by \(\alpha\),

\[
X(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & \alpha \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(2)

As shown in Figure 2, the parameters \(\theta_i\) define the movement at each joint and \(a_{l,k}\) define the length of the links that connects between link \(l\) and \(k\). The angle \(\alpha\) defines the slide axes orientation with respect to the fixed frame. The transformation \([G_i]\) defines the position of the base of the chain relative to the fixed frame, and \([H_i]\) locates its task frame relative to the end-effector frame. The matrix \([D]\) defines the coordinate transformation from the world frame \(F\) to the task frame \(M\).

FIGURE 2. The kinematic diagram of the planar PRR-3R parallel robot. The graph of this chain has a vertex for each link and an edge for each joint. R denotes a revolute joint and P a prismatic joint.

THE KINEMATICS OF THE PLANAR PRR-3R PARALLEL ROBOT

The kinematics equations of the planar PRR-3R parallel robot equate the 3 x 3 homogeneous transformation \([D]\) between the end-effector and the base frame to the sequence of local coordinate transformations around the joint axes and along the links of the chain,
to connect any additional links to the prismatic joint and avoid a third ground pivot.

Our dimensional synthesis process proceeds in three steps. Given five task poses, we first identify a PRR-3R parallel robot that reaches those poses. Inverse kinematics analysis of the parallel robot yields the configuration of the robot in each of the five poses. This allows us to compute the five relative poses of any pair of links in the chain.

The second step is to choose two links in the parallel robot and compute an RR chain that constrains their relative movement to that required by the five task poses. Denote the ground link as \( B_0 \), the three links of the PRR chain can be labelled as \( B_i \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) and the 3R chain as \( B_i \), \( i = 4, 5, 6 \). Because we cannot constrain two consecutive links within the PRRRRR loop and we choose not to constrain any links to the prismatic joint or to the ground, this leaves three cases: i) \( B_2 B_4 \), ii) \( B_2 B_5 \) and iii) \( B_3 B_4 \). The introduction of this RR chain adds a link to the system that we will denote as \( B_7 \).

The third step consists of adding a second RR chain. The second RR constraint can be attached to the original parallel robot or to the newly formed link. In the first case, we found that four eight-bar structures could be designed this way. Figure 3 shows the various eight-bar structures that result from independent RR constraints to the parallel robot. We label these structures by denoting \( B_{ij} \) as the RR constraint connecting \( B_i \) and \( B_j \). For example, a \( B_24B25 \) would have RR constraints between \( B_2 \) and \( B_3 \) as well as \( B_2 \) and \( B_5 \).

We now consider the case where an RR constraint is connected to the new link \( B_7 \). Figure 4 shows that we have four eight-bar structures that arise from such dependent attachment.

**FIGURE 3.** The linkage graphs show the synthesis sequence for the four planar eight-bar linkages in which the two RR chains are attached independently. The number in bracket denotes its topological chain. The graph edges denotes a revolute joint unless otherwise stated.

The synthesis of an RR chain to reach five task pose is well-known, and we expand the formulation by McCarthy (2010) [12] to synthesize an RR chain between two moving bodies.

Let \( B_{ij} \) be five poses of the \( i \)th moving link, and \( B_{kj} \) be the five poses of the \( k \)th moving link measured in a world frame.
Let \( g \) be the coordinates of the R-joint attached to the \( l \)th link measured in the link frame \( B_l \). Similarly, let \( w \) be the coordinates of the other R-joint measured in the link frame \( B_l \). The five poses of these points as the two moving bodies move between the task configurations are given by

\[
G^j = [B_{i,j}]g \quad \text{and} \quad W^j = [B_{k,j}]w. \tag{3}
\]

Now, introduce the relative displacements

\[
[R_{1j}] = [B_{i,j}][B_{i,1}]^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad [S_{1j}] = [B_{k,j}][B_{k,1}]^{-1}, \tag{4}
\]

so these equations become

\[
G^j = [R_{1j}]G^1 \quad \text{and} \quad W^j = [S_{1j}]W^1, \tag{5}
\]

where \([R_{11}] = [S_{11}] = [I]\) are the identity transformations.

The point \( G^j \) and \( W^j \) define the ends of a rigid link of length \( a \), therefore we have the constraint equations as defined by the dot product,

\[
([S_{1j}]W^1 - [R_{1j}]G^1) \cdot ([S_{1j}]W^1 - [R_{1j}]G^1) = a^2. \tag{6}
\]

These five equations can be solved to determine the five design parameters of the RR constraint, \( G^1 = (u, v, 1)^T \), \( W^1 = (x, y, 1)^T \) and \( a \). We will refer to these equations as the synthesis equations for the RR link. To solve the synthesis equations, it is convenient to introduce the displacements

\[
[D_{1j}] = [R_{1j}]^{-1}[S_{1j}] = [B_{i,1}][B_{i,j}]^{-1}[B_{k,j}][B_{k,1}]^{-1}, \tag{7}
\]

so these equations become

\[
([D_{1j}]W^1 - G^1) \cdot ([D_{1j}]W^1 - G^1) = a^2. \tag{8}
\]

Subtract the first of these equations from the remaining to cancel \( a^2 \) and the square terms in the variables \( u, v \) and \( x, y \). The resulting four bilinear equations can be solved algebraically (see McCarthy (2010) [12]), or numerically using something equivalent to Mathematica’s \texttt{NSolve} function to obtain the desired pivots.

### EXAMPLE SYNTHESIS OF A TRANSFORMATIVE WHEELCHAIR

The goal here is to design a multi-functional wheelchair that could transform itself between a self-propelled wheelchair and a walking guide. This not only provides outpatients the ability to perform rehabilitation exercise on their own by transforming itself to a walking-aid apparatus but also as a means of transportation during their recovery period. It also provides the structure for robotic systems to be fitted onto the wheel chair to monitor their recovery status and to give them professional guidance. The benefit of such transformative wheelchair is that it eliminates the need for the user to carry an additional rehabilitative device around. We choose to use a cantilever frame (see Cooper (1995) [15]) and attached an eight-bar linkage as its arm and armrest to achieve this. This is an improvement to the design as presented by Soh et al. (2012) [16].

### TABLE 1.  Five task poses for the end-effector of the parallel robot that transform between a wheelchair and a walking guide.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>( \theta(\circ), x(in), y(in) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(0, 11, 7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(-10.1, 11.838)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(-20.6, 11.926)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(-32.0, 11.10.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(-44.9, 11, 11.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The task poses that define such movement are listed in Table 1 and as shown at the bottom right image of Figure 5. These poses define the discrete handle position of the walking guide. Note that the poses of the arm rest as denoted by the rectangle, are to cater to patients of varying heights. In the following, we design a B24B24 linkage to achieve such task.

We select the link dimensions of the parallel robot to be as shown in Table 2 (see Figure 2 for the notations). Thus, for a given set of five task poses \([M_j]\), \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \), we solve the inverse kinematics equations to determine the associated joint parameters vectors \( \mathbf{q}_j = (\theta_{1j}, \theta_{2j}, \theta_{3j}, \theta_{4j}, \theta_{5j}, \theta_{6j}) \), \( j = 1, \ldots, 5 \). The coordinates of the five R joints in each of the five configurations are denoted by \( \mathbf{C}_{2j}, \mathbf{C}_{3j}, \mathbf{C}_{4j}, \mathbf{C}_{5j}, \) and \( \mathbf{C}_{6j}, j = 1, \ldots, 5 \).

### TABLE 2.  The chosen parameters of the parallel robot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Link</th>
<th>( [G_1] )</th>
<th>( a(\circ) )</th>
<th>( a_{23}(in) )</th>
<th>( [H_1] )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRR</td>
<td>((0^\circ, 19.1, 0))</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>((0^\circ, 0, 0))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Link</td>
<td>( [G_2] )</td>
<td>( a_{45}(in) )</td>
<td>( a_{56}(in) )</td>
<td>( [H_1] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3R</td>
<td>((180^\circ, 0, 0))</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>13.23</td>
<td>((0^\circ, -14, 0))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3. Solutions for both $G_1^i W_1^i$ and $G_2^i W_2^i$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_1^i$</th>
<th>$W_1^i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(5.61, -1.50, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1.92, 0.96, 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Complex</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the five configurations, we can identify the poses of each of the six links of the parallel robot using the coordinate transformations $[B] = [A(\theta), C]$, in which $C_i$ is the coordinates of the origin of the link frames, and $A$ is a rotation matrix of angle $\theta$ relative to the ground frame $F$. Using this convention and ignoring the sliding first link, the poses of the second to sixth links are given by

$$
[B_2] = [A(\alpha + \theta_2), C_2], \\
[B_3] = [A(\alpha + \theta_2 + \theta_3), C_3], \\
[B_4] = [A(\theta_4), C_4], \\
[B_5] = [A(\theta_4 + \theta_5), C_5], \\
[B_6] = [A(\theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6), C_6], \quad j = 1, \ldots, 5. \quad (9)
$$

The 25 coordinate transformations, $[B_{ij}]$, form the requirements used to synthesize two RR chains that constrain the movement of the chain to pass through the given task poses. We compute the RR chain $G_1^i W_1^i$ and $G_2^i W_2^i$ using Eq (8) with $[D_{ij}] = [B_{2,1}][B_{2,j}]^{-1}[B_{4,1}][B_{4,j}]^{-1}$ to constrain between $B_2$ and $B_4$. Since we are constraining between the same bodies twice, the solutions are listed in Table 3.

A schematic of the resulting planar eight bar linkage at each of the five poses is shown by the image sequence labelled 1-5 in Figure 5.

ANALYSIS OF PLANAR EIGHT-BAR LINKAGES: B24B24

To analyze the planar B24B24 eight-bar linkage, we use complex number coordinates and the Dixon determinant as presented by Wampler (2001) [14]. This approach can be generalized for any planar eight-bar linkage.

The Complex Loop Equations

Consider the B24B24 linkage with links and joint parameters $\theta_i$ as shown in Figure 6. Introduced a coordinate frame $F$ such that its origin coincides with the configuration of $C_1$ at its first pose and with its x-axis is directed towards $C_3$. Using the notation in Figure 6, we formulate the complex loop equations formed by loop $OC_1 W_1^i G_1^1 C_3$, $OC_1 W_2^i G_2^1 C_3$ and $OC_1 C_2 C_3 C_4 C_1$, that is,

$$
f_1 : \quad \Theta_0 e^{i\alpha} + b_1 \Theta_1 e^{-i\gamma} - l_3 \Theta_3 - b_2 \Theta_3 e^{i\eta} - l_0 = 0, \\
f_2 : \quad \Theta_0 e^{i\alpha} + b_3 \Theta_1 e^{-i\beta} - l_6 \Theta_6 - b_4 \Theta_3 e^{i\epsilon} - l_0 = 0, \\
f_3 : \quad \Theta_0 e^{i\alpha} + l_1 \Theta_1 + l_2 \Theta_2 - l_3 \Theta_3 - l_4 \Theta_4 - l_0 = 0. \quad (10)
$$
The complex conjugate of these equations yields

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_1^* & : \Theta_0 e^{-i\alpha} + b_1 \Theta_1^{-1} e^{i\gamma} - l_3 \Theta_5^{-1} - b_2 \Theta_3^{-1} e^{-i\eta} - l_0 = 0, \\
 f_2^* & : \Theta_0 e^{-i\alpha} + b_3 \Theta_4^{-1} e^{i\beta} - l_6 \Theta_6^{-1} - b_4 \Theta_3^{-1} e^{-i\epsilon} - l_0 = 0, \\
 f_3^* & : \Theta_0 e^{-i\alpha} + l_1 \Theta_1^{-1} + l_2 \Theta_2^{-1} - l_3 \Theta_3^{-1} - l_4 \Theta_4^{-1} - l_0 = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

We solve these six equations for \( \Theta_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) using the Dixon determinant.

### The Dixon Determinant

We suppress \( \Theta_2 \), so we have six complex equations in the five variables \( \Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5 \) and \( \Theta_6 \). We formulate the Dixon determinant by inserting each of the six functions \( f_1, f_1^*, f_2, f_2^*, f_3, f_3^* \) as the first row, and then sequentially replacing the five variables by \( \alpha_i \) in the remaining rows, to obtain,

\[
\Delta(f_1, f_1^*, f_2, f_2^*, f_3, f_3^*) =
\begin{array}{c}
| f_1(\Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5, \Theta_6) \quad \ldots \quad f_1(\Theta_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5, \Theta_6) \\
| f_1(\alpha_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5, \Theta_6) \quad \ldots \quad f_1(\alpha_1, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5, \Theta_6) \\
| \vdots \quad \quad \vdots \\
| f_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6) \quad \ldots \quad f_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6) \\
\end{array}
\]

This determinant is zero when \( \Theta_j, j = 1, \ldots, 6 \) satisfy the loop equations, because the elements of the first row become zero.

Now row reduce \( \Delta \) by subtracting the second row from the first row, then the third from the second, fourth from the third, and the fifth from the fourth, to obtain,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
 c_{11}(\Theta_1 - \alpha_1) & \ldots & c_{31}(\Theta_1^{-1} - \alpha_1^{-1}) \\
 c_{13}(\Theta_3 - \alpha_3) & \ldots & c_{33}(\Theta_3^{-1} - \alpha_3^{-1}) \\
 \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 f_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6) & \ldots & f_3^*(\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)
\end{bmatrix} = 0. \quad (13)
\]

This determinant contains extraneous roots of the form \( \Theta_j = \alpha_j \), and can be removed by factoring out \( (\Theta_j^{-1} - \alpha_j^{-1}) \). We substitute \( \Theta_j - \alpha_j = -\Theta_j \alpha_j (\Theta_j^{-1} - \alpha_j^{-1}) \), to obtain,

\[
\delta = \frac{\Delta(f_1, f_1^*, f_2, f_2^*, f_3, f_3^*)}{\prod_{j=1,3,4,5,6}(\Theta_j^{-1} - \alpha_j^{-1})}. \quad (14)
\]

This determinant expands to form the Dixon polynomial

\[
\delta = a[W]t = 0. \quad (15)
\]

\( a = \{a_1, a_2\} \) is a row vector of monomials such that \( a_1 \) is any combinations \( \alpha_i \alpha_j \) of the variables \((\alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6)\), and \( a_2 \) is its complement \( \alpha_k \alpha_i \alpha_m \) as follows,

\[
a^T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad a^T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Similarly for \( t = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} t_2 \end{bmatrix} \) we have the following column vectors of monomials:

\[
t_1 = \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t_2 = \begin{bmatrix} t_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]
The matrix \([W]\) can be rewritten in a way such that matrices \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) are diagonal, and the elements of \(A\) obey the relation \(a_{ij} = a_{ji}'\), that is,

\[
\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 x + D_2 \\ A \\ D_1 x^{-1} + D_2' \\ \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \end{pmatrix}.
\]  
(18)

**Solving the loop equations**

A set of values \(\Theta_j\) that satisfy the loop equations (10) and (11) will also yield \(\delta = 0\), which will be true for arbitrary values of the auxiliary variables \(\alpha_j\). Thus solutions for these loop equations must also satisfy the matrix equation,

\[
[W][t] = 0.
\]  
(19)

The matrix \(W\) is a square, therefore this equation has solutions only if \(\det[W] = 0\). Expanding this determinant we obtain a polynomial in \(x = \Theta_2\). The structure \([W]\) yields,

\[
[W][t] = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ A & D_2' \\ \end{bmatrix} x \begin{pmatrix} -D_2 & -A' \\ 0 & -D_2' \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \end{pmatrix} = [Mx - N][t] = 0.
\]  
(20)

Notice that the values of \(x\) that result in \(\det[W] = 0\) are also the eigenvalues of the characteristic polynomial \(p(x) = \det(Mx - N)\) of the generalized eigenvalue problem

\[
N[t] = xMt.
\]  
(21)

Each value of \(x = \Theta_2\) has an associated eigenvector \(t\) which yields the values of the remaining joint angles \(\Theta_j, j = 1, 3, 4, 5, 6\).

It is useful to notice that each eigenvector \(t = (t_1, \ldots, t_20)^T\) is defined up to a constant multiple, say \(\mu\). Therefore it is convenient to determine the values of \(\Theta_j\) by computing the ratios,

\[
\Theta_1 = \frac{t_{10}}{t_3} = \frac{\mu \Theta_3 \Theta_4}{\mu \Theta_3 \Theta_4} \quad \Theta_3 = \frac{t_{10}}{t_2} = \frac{\mu \Theta_2 \Theta_3}{\mu \Theta_2 \Theta_3} \quad \Theta_4 = \frac{t_{10}}{t_1} = \frac{\mu \Theta_1 \Theta_2}{\mu \Theta_1 \Theta_2},
\]

\[
\Theta_5 = \frac{t_{10}}{t_1} = \frac{\mu \Theta_1 \Theta_2 \Theta_3}{\mu \Theta_1 \Theta_2} \quad \Theta_6 = \frac{t_{10}}{t_1} = \frac{\mu \Theta_1 \Theta_2 \Theta_3}{\mu \Theta_1 \Theta_2}.
\]  
(22)

**Sorting the Assemblies**

The closed form solution above would yield as many as 20 configurations for each input slide. Therefore, to analyze the B24B24 eight-bar linkage for a sequence of input slide \(\Theta_0\), we need to sort each of these joints angles into their respective assemblies. This can be done using Newton’s method.

First, compute the derivative of the loop equations (10) and (11) to obtain

\[
\nabla f_1 : \hat{\Theta}_0 e^{\dot{\alpha} t} + b_1 \hat{\Theta}_1 e^{-it} - l_5 \Theta_5 - b_2 \Theta_4 e^{it} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla f_2 : \hat{\Theta}_0 e^{\dot{\alpha} t} + b_1 \hat{\Theta}_1 e^{-it} - l_6 \Theta_6 - b_3 \Theta_3 e^{it} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla f_3 : \hat{\Theta}_0 e^{\dot{\alpha} t} + l_1 \hat{\Theta}_1 + l_2 \Theta_2 - l_3 \Theta_3 - l_4 \Theta_4 = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla f_4^1 : \hat{\Theta}_0 e^{\dot{\alpha} t} + b_1 \hat{\Theta}_1 (\Theta_1^2 + e^{it} - l_2 \Theta_2 \Theta_3^2 - b_3 \Theta_3 \Theta_3^2 - e^{-it}) = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla f_4^2 : \hat{\Theta}_0 e^{\dot{\alpha} t} + b_3 \Theta_3 \Theta_3^2 + e^{it} - l_6 \Theta_6 + b_4 \Theta_4 e^{it} = 0,
\]

\[
\nabla f_5^1 : \hat{\Theta}_0 e^{\dot{\alpha} t} + l_1 \hat{\Theta}_1 + l_2 \Theta_2 + l_4 \Theta_4 - l_3 \Theta_3 - l_4 \Theta_4 - 2 = 0.
\]  
(23)

Now, factor out the derivative vector \(\hat{\Theta} = (\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Theta_3, \Theta_4, \Theta_5, \Theta_6)\) to obtain the Jacobian matrix,

\[
[\nabla \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Theta})][\hat{\Theta}] = 0.
\]  
(24)

To sort the roots among the various assemblies of the eight-bar linkage, we approximate the complex loop equations using the Jacobian, \(\nabla \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Theta})\) and obtain

\[
[\nabla \mathcal{F}(\hat{\Theta}^k)](\vec{\Psi} - \hat{\Theta}^k) = 0.
\]  
(25)

\(\vec{\Psi}\) approximates the value of \(\hat{\Theta}^{k+1}\) associated with the input slide \(\Theta_0^{k+1}\) and is near to the assembly defined by \(\hat{\Theta}^k\). The task now is to identify which root \(\hat{\Theta}^{k+1}\) is closest to \(\vec{\Psi}\) on the \(i\)th circuit to match the assemblies.

**Numerical Example**

To analyze the B24B24 planar eight-bar linkage that results, we first determine the range of input slide \(\Theta_0\) by computing the inverse kinematics of the PRR-3R parallel robot at each of the task pose \(M\). This yields five input slide parameters, which we interpolate between them to obtain 24 input slide parameters for this analysis. Table 4 shows the solutions for each of the 24 input slide \(\Theta_0\) that correspond to the assembly configuration associated with the first pose after our sorting process.

**CONCLUSION**

This paper presents a dimensional synthesis procedure for planar eight-bar linkages by constraining a parallel robot with a prismatic base. This procedure yields 8 different types of eight-bar structures. The resulting linkage provides designers the capability to use linear actuators to drive directly at its prismatic base.
TABLE 4. Analysis solution for each input slide $\theta_i$ that correspond to the assembly configuration associated with the first pose.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\theta_i$(°)</th>
<th>$\theta_1$(°)</th>
<th>$\theta_2$(°)</th>
<th>$\theta_3$(°)</th>
<th>$\theta_4$(°)</th>
<th>$\theta_5$(°)</th>
<th>$\theta_6$(°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-42.80</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>180.00</td>
<td>-34.53</td>
<td>125.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-44.18</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
<td>-174.84</td>
<td>-34.87</td>
<td>115.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-45.53</td>
<td>-4.48</td>
<td>-170.79</td>
<td>-35.63</td>
<td>107.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-46.84</td>
<td>-6.45</td>
<td>-167.34</td>
<td>-36.62</td>
<td>100.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>-48.13</td>
<td>-8.32</td>
<td>-164.28</td>
<td>-37.78</td>
<td>95.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-49.39</td>
<td>-10.14</td>
<td>-161.50</td>
<td>-39.06</td>
<td>89.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>-49.39</td>
<td>-10.14</td>
<td>-161.50</td>
<td>-39.06</td>
<td>89.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>-50.98</td>
<td>-12.39</td>
<td>-158.24</td>
<td>-40.83</td>
<td>83.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>-52.53</td>
<td>-14.57</td>
<td>-155.23</td>
<td>-42.70</td>
<td>78.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>-54.05</td>
<td>-16.66</td>
<td>-152.44</td>
<td>-44.63</td>
<td>73.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>-55.55</td>
<td>-18.68</td>
<td>-149.81</td>
<td>-46.60</td>
<td>68.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>-57.02</td>
<td>-20.61</td>
<td>-147.32</td>
<td>-48.58</td>
<td>63.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>-57.02</td>
<td>-20.61</td>
<td>-147.32</td>
<td>-48.58</td>
<td>63.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>-59.06</td>
<td>-22.21</td>
<td>-143.97</td>
<td>-51.40</td>
<td>57.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>-61.06</td>
<td>-25.65</td>
<td>-140.81</td>
<td>-54.18</td>
<td>52.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>-63.03</td>
<td>-27.92</td>
<td>-137.80</td>
<td>-56.92</td>
<td>46.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>-64.95</td>
<td>-30.03</td>
<td>-134.90</td>
<td>-59.60</td>
<td>41.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>-66.85</td>
<td>-32.01</td>
<td>-132.08</td>
<td>-62.26</td>
<td>36.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>-66.85</td>
<td>-32.01</td>
<td>-132.08</td>
<td>-62.26</td>
<td>36.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>-71.05</td>
<td>-36.40</td>
<td>-125.35</td>
<td>-68.43</td>
<td>26.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>-75.56</td>
<td>-38.72</td>
<td>-120.52</td>
<td>-72.83</td>
<td>12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>-79.66</td>
<td>-41.41</td>
<td>-114.62</td>
<td>-77.93</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>-83.71</td>
<td>-43.44</td>
<td>-108.83</td>
<td>-82.62</td>
<td>-9.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>-87.68</td>
<td>-44.91</td>
<td>-102.91</td>
<td>-87.06</td>
<td>-20.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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